## Remarks:

The amendment filed on 12/12/2006 did not specifically strike through (delete) a paragraph added to the specification in the amendment filed on May 5, 2006. This paragraph was objected to by the examiner, in the office action of 10/12/2006:

"The amendment filed on May 5, 2006 adds a paragraph to the specification broadening the teachings of the solutions, reagents, components, membrane materials, antibodies, haptens, and devices not previously recited.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action"

The amendment filed on 12/12/2006 corrected this by supplying a substitute detailed description of the invention, wherein the offending paragraph had been deleted. This was noted in the remarks of that amendment:

## Objection 5 d):

The examiner objects to a paragraph added to the detailed method of the invention, wherein the substitute reagents are mentioned.

To correct this, in the newly submitted detailed method of the invention, this paragraph has been deleted.

Also, in the amendment filed on 12/12/2006, the canceled previous detailed description of the invention was shown as struck out:

## In the Specification (continued):

"Please delete the previous detailed description of the invention (page 11, line 1 to page 26, line 14 - of the previously submitted specification). This is shown on the following pages as struck out, to precisely indicate the deleted text:"

However, the offending paragraph from the May 5, 2006 amendment was not shown as struck out here.

This supplemental amendment clarifies this, and formalizes the deletion of the offending paragraph, by showing it as struck out.

Applicant/Inventor

Application 10/002,690

26215 Ivanhoe, Redford, Michigan 48239

Tel. (313) 538-2587